



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/146,835 | 09/03/1998 | MASANORI ITO | MAT-6280 | 9903 |
| 7590 | 02/08/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| LAWRENCE E ASHERY RATNER & PRESTIA SUITE 301 ONE WESTLAKES BERWYN P O BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 194820980 | | | WALLERSON, MARK E | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2626 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/146,835 | ITO ET AL. | |

| | | |
|-------------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Mark E. Wallerson | 2626 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mark E. Wallerson.

(3) Kenji Kamata.

(2) Darren Odedra.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 January 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 65,70 and 74.

Identification of prior art discussed: Nihei (U.S. 5,710,572).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

MAK WALLERSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant submitted that the cited reference does not disclose that the display is attached to the camera as claimed in claims 65, 70 and 74. The Examiner will reconsider the cited reference upon receipt of Applicant's response to determine whether this feature is disclosed. Applicant also submitted that the cited reference does not disclose a key adapted to be manipulated by a user of the camera and operable to rotate an angle of the image displayed on the camera. The Examiner agrees that Nihei does not disclose that feature as claimed in independent claims 65 and 70. Accordingly, the rejections relating ONLY to claims 65 and 70 and their respective dependent claims have been overcome. The Examiner will reconsider Applicant's arguments upon receipt of Applicant's official response.